UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Fernando Guerra-Basurto	Case No. 1:10-cr-00059-JTN
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail F that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I –	Findings of Fact
	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of e that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	<u> </u>
a felony committed after the defendant had bullet U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	peen convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	involves:
the possession or use of a firear a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another lant has not rebutted that presumption.
•	tive Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	
for which a maximum prison term of ten year	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption exwill reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
•	tive Findings (B)
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	nger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of	of the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
 Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to a Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention 	e released in any case.
Part III – Directio	ons Regarding Detention

Date: August 27, 2010 Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a